

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,159	04/19/2006	Peter Kaever	10034.541	9054
39231 SMITH LAW	7590 03/10/201 OFFICE	EXAMINER		
8000 EXCELSIOR DRIVE, SUITE 301			SANDERSON, JOSEPH W	
MADISON, WI 53717			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/559,159	KAEVER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Joseph W. Sanderson	3644	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

   Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for repit is specified above, the maximum statisticy period will app.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, causes any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Decem	<u>nber 2010</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action	on is non-final.					
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance e</li> </ol>	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5.7-18 and 20-33 is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	om consideration.					
<ol><li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ol>						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5.7-18 and 20-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elec	ction requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	er. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Ne(s)I/Jail Date					
Notice of Draftsperson's Fatent Drawing Review (FTO 943)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	B-+ N- M-il D-+- 20110201					

Art Unit: 3644

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode

contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-5, 7-18, 20-28 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as

failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled

in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

claimed invention.

The original disclosure does not provide for one of ordinary skill to use a pressure change

duration having speed rate changes that does not "substantially exceed" the duration of a

duration having no speed rate changes.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3644

4. Claims 1-5, 7-12, 14, 17, 18, 21-23, 26, 28 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

as being anticipated by Grimm et al. (US 5 970 910).

Regarding independent claim 1:

As best understood, Grimm discloses a method for milking comprising:

defining a standard pressure duration in which no changes to pressure changing speed rates are made (this is read as a hypothetical duration strictly for the purposes of comparison later in the claim, and is equal to the duration of periods a-d in Fig 9);

generating a pulsed vacuum in a pulse chamber of a teat cup by altering the vacuum during pressure changing phases (pulsator 11 alternates pressures vacuum in cup 2);

controlling a pressure curve for the duration of one pressure changing phase with at least two pressure changing speed rates (the graph in Fig 9 indicates that there is a variation in at least phases a and c by the variation of the pressure gradient); and

limiting the total duration for the pressure changing phase, with at least two pressure speed changing rates, to not substantially exceed the defined pressure changing phase duration (at most, they are equal).

Regarding independent claim 18:

Grimm discloses a pulsator comprising a controller (18) which is capable of adjusting the pressure-time curve as claimed (the claim only recites the intended use of the controller, however the function is also noted above with regards to claim 1).

Art Unit: 3644

Regarding claims 2-4:

The discussion above regarding claim 1 is relied upon.

Grimm discloses the pressure curve being controlled during the change phases by an adjustable unit (adjustable throttle 26; col 7. lines 32-33 and 53-55).

Regarding claim 5:

The discussion above regarding claim 1 is relied upon.

Grimm discloses the changing the pressure changing speed rates substantially continuously (indicated by the continuous curve in Fig 9).

Regarding claim 7:

The discussion above regarding claim 1 is relied upon.

Grimm discloses the curve of the first stage of the ventilation phase as flatter than a subsequent stage (the beginning of c is flat, then steeply declines).

Regarding claim 8:

The discussion above regarding claim 1 is relied upon.

Grimm discloses the curve in the first stage of the evacuation phase as steeper than in a subsequent stage (the curve levels off as it approaches b).

Art Unit: 3644

intended).

Regarding claim 9:

The discussion above regarding claim 1 is relied upon.

Grimm discloses the shift between pressure changing speed rates occurring in the pulse chamber when the liner is in contact with an animal teat (the device is in use on an animal, as

Regarding claims 10, 12, 23, 26 and 31:

The discussion above regarding claims 1 and 18 is relied upon.

Grimm discloses the curve of the changing phases adjusted according to a characteristic of a valve (adjustable throttle 26) of the pulsator, the valve opening cross-section variably changing, and the pulsator valve in communication with the controller (necessary for operation, but also variously depicted in Figs 11-3, 5 and 6).

Regarding claim 11:

The discussion above regarding claim 1 is relied upon.

Grimm discloses the free-flow resistance varied between the teat cup and pulsator valve (due to 26).

Regarding claim 14:

The discussion above regarding claim 1 is relied upon.

Grimm discloses the valve cross-section changed continuously (as evidenced by the pressure curve). Art Unit: 3644

Regarding claim 17:

The discussion above regarding claim 1 is relied upon.

Grimm discloses the pressure measured in the pulsation chamber (col 6, lines 12-16) and controlling a pulsator actuator based on the measurement (supplies an output signal through which the other components are controlled, via 18).

Regarding claims 21 and 22:

The discussion above regarding claim 18 is relied upon.

Grimm discloses a control device (18) controlling the pressure curve during the changing phases (evidenced by Fig 9).

Regarding claim 28:

The discussion above regarding claim 18 is relied upon.

Grimm discloses at least one direct valve (28) in communication with the controller (as seen in Fig 2).

Regarding claim 32:

The discussion above regarding claim 18 is relied upon.

Grimm discloses a nozzle in communication with the controller (a nozzle is a terminal portion of a fluid conduit, and thus the outputs of any of the fluid conduits in the system may be considered a nozzle).

Art Unit: 3644

Regarding claim 33:

The discussion above regarding claim 18 is relied upon.

Grimm discloses a pulsator valve (26 or 28) in communication with the controller (as variously depicted) defining a valve chamber (for the fluid to flow through), and a valve closing element disposed in the pulsate valve chamber for movement therein (adjustable valves inherently have some means to alter the size of the opening, and no-return valves having closure means to prevent backflow through the opening).

 Claims 1, 10, 12, 13, 18, 20 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Innings et al. (US 6 009 832).

Regarding independent claim 1:

As best understood, Innings discloses a method for milking comprising:

defining a standard pressure duration in which no changes to pressure changing speed rates are made (this is read as a hypothetical duration strictly for the purposes of comparison later in the claim, and is equal to the duration of periods a-d in Figs 2 and 3);

generating a pulsed vacuum in a pulse chamber of a teat cup by altering the vacuum during pressure changing phases (pulsator 16 alternates pressures vacuum in cup 3);

controlling a pressure curve for the duration of one pressure changing phase with at least two pressure changing speed rates (the graphs in Figs 2 and 3 indicate that there is a variation in at least phases a and c by the variation of the pressure gradient); and

Page 8

Art Unit: 3644

limiting the total duration for the pressure changing phase, with at least two pressure speed changing rates, to not substantially exceed the defined pressure changing phase duration (at most, they are equal).

Regarding independent claim 18:

Innings discloses a pulsator comprising a controller (20) which is capable of adjusting the pressure-time curve as claimed (the claim only recites the intended use of the controller, however the function is also noted above with regards to claim 1).

Regarding claims 10, 12, 13 and 23-25:

The discussion above regarding claims 1 and 18 is relied upon.

Innings discloses a valve (28) in communication with the controller (as seen in Fig 1) changed in a plurality of stages (the valve creates the multi-staged curve of Figs 2 and 3), and thus the opening cross-section is variable.

Regarding claim 20:

The discussion above regarding claim 18 is relied upon.

Innings discloses at least one timing element (23, 24; col 7, line 66 – col 8, line 5) through which the duration of a stage can be adjusted.

Art Unit: 3644

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grimm

('910) in view of Kaneko (US 5 897 304).

The discussion above regarding claim 12 is relied upon.

Grimm discloses a pulsator valve, but does not disclose the valve maintained in a floating position during at least one stage of a pressure changing phase.

Kaneko discloses a pulsator valve (96) that is maintained in a floating position during an evacuation phase (col 6, lines 4-14) as an alternative to a variety of other equivalent valves (col 6, lines 17-21).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Grimm to use a floating valve as taught by Kaneko as this is a well-known functionally equivalent means for predictably regulating the pressure flow.

Grimm as modified would render the floating position as variable (capable of being varied), as varying forces acting upon the valve would affect the position differently. Art Unit: 3644

8. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grimm ('910) in

view of Krone (US 5 628 491).

Regarding claim 27:

The discussion above regarding claim 18 is relied upon.

Grimm discloses a pulsator main valve, but does not disclose a pilot valve.

Krone teaches a pilot valve for controlling a main pulsator valve (abstract; col 1, lines 4-

6).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Grimm to use the pilot valve/main valve combination as taught by Krone for the well-known predicable advantage of controlling the main valve to provide easy control of high pressure/flow lines, and since pilot valves are well-known predictable means for activating main pulsator valves.

Grimm as modified would render the valves in communication with the controller, as the controller is the device which activates the valves for use.

### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 22 December 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the disclosure has sufficient support for the duration "not substantially exceed[ing]" a second duration (page 10), the applicant notes the disclosure indicates the duration is "insignificantly longer" (specification, page 4, lines 18-19).

Art Unit: 3644

However, since this term is undefined, it is unknown whether "insignificant" is equivalent to "substantially," allowing for an interpretation of "substantially" being broader that "insignificantly." As such, "substantially" not exceeding is considered new matter as it may encompass more than the unknown "insignificantly."

Applicant's comments on whether certain limitations (such as animal comfort) need not be within the claims (page 13) are acknowledged, however applicant is reminded that structures, method steps and intended uses not within the claims are not given patentable weight. While the claims are read in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. Accordingly, applicant's arguments regarding animal comfort (page 16) are generally moot as the limitations are not claimed.

In response to applicant's argument that neither Grimm nor Innings discloses a "significantly longer" duration (pages 14 and 17), the applicant has not defined this new matter, and accordingly, a best approximation is given. Grimm depicts a graph whereby the length of each period closely mirrors each other, and each is deemed "substantially" similar.

Further, regarding apparatus claim 18, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. Grimm discloses a controller which gives a substantially similar graph to that of applicant's rendering it clearly capable of such, with the use of Grimm for the method steps further illustrates this capability.

In response to applicant's arguments that Innings monitors and not controls the phase changing duration, applicant has not claimed any particular method of how the pressure curve is

Art Unit: 3644

controlled, but only that it is controlled for the duration of the pressure changing phase. Innings controls the entire milking process, and thus each component of the process (such as the noted vacuum levels, maximum vacuum level, pulsator ratio, pulsating frequency, etc.), rendering control during the pressure change phase. Further, the rate changes are visible within the graphs of Figs. 2 and 3.

Applicant's request that the elements be "strictly compared" to those of Innings is denied.

The test is not whether an applicant's device is strictly disclosed by a reference, but whether a broadest reasonable interpretation of a reference produces the limitations of the applicant's claims.

#### Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3644

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph W. Sanderson whose telephone number is (571)272-6337. The examiner can normally be reached on M 6:30 am - 11:30 am, T-F 6:30 am - 300 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy D. Collins can be reached on (571)272-6886. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JOSHUA J MICHENER/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3644

/J. W. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3644